Nigerian Journal of Ecology (2012) 12:37-44. ©Ecological Society of Nigeria 2012 ISSN: 1116-753X

Effectiveness of bioengineering methods of water erosion control in Tarka Valley Versant, Niger Republic

Omole, A.O. and Oumarou, A.

Department of Forest Resources Management, University of Ibadan, Ibadan Corresponding Author: ao.omole@mail.ui.edu.ng

(Accepted 28 November 2012)

ABSTRACT

Erosion problem is recognized as a serious threat to human beings, forestry and agricultural activities in the rural areas of Niger Republic. The effectiveness of three Bio-engineering methods of water erosion control in the degraded land of two villages in Bouza local government area, of the Niger Republic was assessed. These two villages are highly susceptible to water erosion. The three methods of erosion control used were wall of stone, Trench and Demi-Lune. Trees and herbaceous plants were then introduced to the reclaimed land to green up the environment. After regeneration, data collected include rate of soil cover by herbaceous vegetation, inventory of woody and herbaceous vegetation, stand density and the survival rate of planted trees. Results showed high biomass production and great density of herbaceous species of different families with the highest species frequency being Gramineae. The total biomass production for the two sites was estimated at about 413.15 and 461.12 tons for Babarangua and Kougouptache respectively. Statistical analysis showed that the site has no significant influence on biomass production while the technique adopted exhibit significant influence on production at the same level of probability. The wall of stone technique gave the best result in herbaceous production while the trench and Demi-lune technique ensure good rate of tree survival for the planted trees. The three methods were effective in erosion control in the two sites.

Key words: Bioengineering, water erosion control, Tarka Valley, Babarangua and Kougouptache communities

INTRODUCTION

A very large scale of water erosion control techniques exist and their use depends largely on the type of soil, the degree of erosion, the slope and climatic conditions. The general classification of the erosion control technique has be broadly grouped under two categories which are biological and mechanical (engineering) methods. The preferred method is usually the biological because flexibility, method of its adaptability and cheapness. The planting of a combination of trees, shrubs and grasses for the purpose of erosion control had been

effectively used to control surface-run off thereby reducing soil losses (Allen and Leech 1997, Stiles 1988, Lewis 2000 and Yang et al 2002). The environmental beneficial effect of protection of the soil surface area with adequate vegetation cover has been research into and the findings are documented in the work of Wang et al vegetation (1998). The presence of improves soil physical and chemical properties and therefore increases it resistance to erosion. Tree and vegetation maintain and improve soil status.

Many other ecological benefits resulting from keeping a soil under vegetation have been detailed in the work of Allen and Leech (1999) and Sterk (2002). In some situation, the use of vegetation alone through direct planting may not be an immediate solution in water erosion control. needs be It to supplemented by mechanical techniques of water and soil conservation and this technique of checking erosion and water bioengineering. runoff is called Bioengineering is the combination of biological, mechanical and ecological concept to control, and stabilize soil through the sole use of vegetation or in combination of non living materials (Mannering 1981, Stocking 1986, Hudson 1991, Li and Eddleman 2002). In Niger Republic, techniques such as wall stone, trench and Demi-lune have been developed in the various erosion control projects (Keita project, Bada guichin project and soil plannification project etc.) to solve sheet and reel erosion problems (SAE 1999). However the impact and effectiveness of such projects using the aforementioned techniques have not been quantified and qualified. This study is therefore carried out to assess the effectiveness of these three bio-engineering erosion control methods in Tarka Varsant Valley of Niger Republic.

Material and Methods Study Site:

Tarka Valley lies between Damergou in Zinder State in the Southern zone of Niger Republic and Goulbi of Sokoto State in Nigeria. The Valley and its tributary cover an area of about 2800km². Tarka Valley climate is south Sahelian type with long dry season of seven months (November-May) and raining season of less than five months (June-October) the mean annual rainfall of over 30 years was 413mm and the peak of rain in the year is July. The sunshine is estimated at 3000hours/year (SAE 1999).

Three geomorphologic areas constitute Tarka Valley which is the Plateau, the varsant and the lower valley and five different types of soil, identified are Fadama, Gandari, Baringo, Dabagi and Jigawa. The total land area covered by erosion control project was 342.2km in Kongoupthe. Wall of stone was used to treat the upper versant while trench and demi-dune were used on lower versant. The resource assessment was carried out five years after the treatment for erosion control of the selected areas.

Data Collection

Before actual data collection, a reconnaissance survey was carried out to obtain information about the prevailing environmental conditions in the two sites. This was to assist in taking adequate decision on the type of sampling procedure and the equipment required for the study.

With sampling intensity on vegetation cover of 10 percent. Data were collected on following parameter, the rate of soil cover by herbaceous vegetation, the list of woody and herbaceous vegetation, stand density and the survival rate of the trees planter. Data on herbaceous biomass production were collected in accordance (1999)with SAE recommendation procedure for sahelian regions of plot size 0.25ha (50m X 50m).

Data analysis

Data collected were analyzed using a combination of simple percentages, students T-test and analyses of variance (*ANOVA*). Where there are significant differences Fischer's least significance difference (LSD) was employed for post mortem analyses.

Results and Discussions

The results on herbaceous biomass production for the two sites are presented on Table 1. The analysis of variance result is presented on Table 2 while the LSD result is presented on Table 3. The total biomass production of herbaceous vegetation for the two sites was estimated at about 413.15tons and 461.12tons for Babarangua and Kougouptche respectively. This production varies depending on the techniques. In the two sites, the biomass production is higher in wall of stone than Demi-lune, while demi-lune also produces more biomass than trench.

The result of statistical analysis carried out to compare the three techniques in the two sites as presented on Table 2 showed that the effect of the site in biomass production was not significant when tested at 5% level of significance. This shows that the site has significant effect on the volume of biomass production in the two sites. On the other hand, the technique exhibited significant differences at the same level of probability. Post mortem analysis carried out as revealed on Table 3 shows that the wall of stone gave the best result.

| Table 1: Herbaceous | Vegetation | Biomass | production | for the | e Two Sites |
|----------------------------|-------------|----------------|------------|----------|-------------|
| | , egetation | | production | 101 0110 | |

| Technique | Babarang | Babarangua | | | Kougouptache | | | |
|------------------|----------|------------|-------|---------|--------------|-------|--|--|
| | Wall of | Trench | Demi- | Wall of | Trench | Demi- | | |
| | stone | | lune | stone | | lune | | |
| Production | 2.281 | 0.887 | 1.014 | 2.016 | 0.819 | 0.973 | | |
| (ton/ha) | | | | | | | | |
| Total production | 247.75 | 103.38 | 62.02 | 270.22 | 98.8 | 92.1 | | |
| (ton) | | | | | | | | |
| Percentage | 60% | 25% | 15% | 59% | 21% | 20% | | |
| Total site | 413.15 | | | 461.12 | | | | |
| production (ton) | | | | | | | | |

Table 2: Anova Result for the Treatment and Site on Biomass Production

| SV | DF | SS | SS | F | P. Level |
|-------------------------|-------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| Site | 1 | 0.023313 | 0.023313 | 3.1183 | 0.21946 |
| Techniques (treatment) | 2^* | 2.02139 | 1.010695* | 135.1889* | 0.007343^{*} |
| Error | 2 | 0.014952 | 0.007476 | | |
| Total | 5 | | | | |
| *Cignificant at n <0.05 | | | | | |

*Significant at p<0.05

Table 3: LSD Result

| Technique | Wall of stone | Trench | Demi-lune |
|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Wall of stone | | 0.004425^{*} | 0.005558^{*} |
| Trench | 0.004425^{*} | | 0.245676 |
| Demi-lune | 0.005558^{*} | 0.245676 | |
| *Significant at n | 0.05 | | |

*Significant at p<0.05

the Table 4 shows different herbaceous species on the two sites and their frequency of apparition and ecological preference. The Table revealed a great dominance of Gramineae with Cyboyogon schoenanthus having the highest frequency of 44 followed by Cenchrus biflorus and Pennisetum pecticellatum with frequencies of 31 and 29 respectively. The rate of soil cover by herbaceous vegetation as reported in Table 5 showed a highest value of 68.57% for Babarangua and 52.50% for Kougouptache sites in wall of stone as against the least 42.50% and 31.66%

recorded in Trench for the two sites respectively. Statistical analysis carried out to compare the three techniques in the two sites as presented in Table 6 showed that site effect on rate of soil cover by herbaceous vegetation was not significant when tested at 5% level of significant. On the other hand, the techniques exhibited significant difference at the same level of probability. Post mortem analysis carried out compare difference among the mean of the three techniques showed that, the wall of stone gave the best result as shown on Table 7.

Table 4: List of Herbaceous Species identified in the Two Sites

| Species | | Frequ | Site | | Ecology |
|--------------------------|---------------|-------|------|------|---------|
| | Family | % | Bab | Koug | TV-LV |
| Cyboyogon schoenantus | Poaceae | 44 | + | + | TV-LV |
| Andropogon gayanus | Graminnae | 13 | + | + | TV-LV |
| Cenchrus biflorus | " | 31 | + | + | TV-LV |
| Pennisetum pecticellatum | " | 29 | + | + | TV-LV |
| Schenefeldia gracilis | " | 13 | + | + | TV-LV |
| Eragrotis pilosa | " | 02 | + | + | TV-LV |
| Aristida metabilis | " | 08 | + | + | TV-LV |
| Brachiar distiphylla | " | 01 | + | - | TV-LV |
| Tetrapogon cenchriformis | " | 02 | + | + | TV-LV |
| Zormia glochidiata | Papilionodeae | 02 | + | + | TV-LV |
| Indigofera senegalensis | | 01 | - | + | LV |
| Alysicarpus Ovalifolius | " | 29 | + | + | LV |
| Crotalaria podocarpa | " | 02 | + | + | TV-LV |
| Leptadenia hastate | Asdepiadaceae | 01 | + | + | LV |
| Cleome scaposa | Capparidaceae | 01 | - | + | TV |
| Geratotheca sesamoides | Peadaliaceae | 01 | - | + | TV |
| Leucas martinisensis | Lamiaceae | 01 | + | + | LV-TV |
| Amaranthus graecizans | Amaranthaceae | 03 | + | + | LV |
| Eragrotis tremula | Gramineae | 01 | + | + | LV |
| Physalis angulata | Solanaceae | 01 | - | + | LV |
| Sida cordifolia | | 05 | + | + | LV |

Note: Koug. = Kougouptache Bab. = Babarangua

TV = Top Versant LV = Lower Versant

| Table 5: Percentage | of Soil Cover l | ov Herbaceous ' | Vegetation. |
|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Tuble et l'étététége | | J IICI Succous | , egetterom |

| Site | Wall of stone | Trench | Demi-lune |
|--------------|---------------|--------|-----------|
| Babarangua | 68.57% | 42.5% | 46% |
| Kougouptache | 52.5% | 31.66% | 40% |

| Source of variation | Df | SS | Ms | F | P. Level |
|---------------------|----|----------|------------|-----------|----------|
| Site | 1 | 180.5114 | 180.5114 | 14.23372 | 0.63624 |
| Techniques | 2 | 595.1064 | 297.5532** | 23.46273* | 0.40579* |
| Error | 2 | 25.3639 | 12.68195 | | |
| Total | 5 | 800.9817 | 490.74655 | | |

Table 6: ANOVA on rate of soil cover by herbaceous vegetation after treatment

* Significant at p<0.05

| Table 7: LDS test variables on the rate of soil cover by herbaceous vegetation |
|--|
|--|

| | | | 0 | |
|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--|
| Technique | Wall of stone | Trench | Demi-lune | |
| | | 37.08000 | 43.00000 | |
| Wall of stone | - | 0.022285^{*} | 0.038857^{*} | |
| Trench | 0.038857^{*} | - | 0.0238331 | |
| Demi-lune | 0.038857^{*} | 0.238331 | - | |
| 1. G | 0.05 | | | |

*Significant at p<0.05

Woody vegetation

The result of the stand density per site for the three bioengineering control methods is presented in table 8, while the analysis of variance result is presented in table 9. Survival rate of the planted trees is reported on table 10 and the result of the statistical analyses are presented on tables 11 and 12. Table 8 shows the mean density of naturally regenerated bole per block and per site. The highest number of 994 bole per hectare was recorded in the trench for Babarangua while the least of 394 boles per hectare was recorded in wall of stone for Kougouptache. Statistical analysis carried out to compare the three techniques in the two sites as reported in Table 9 showed that there is significant difference among the techniques in density of trees naturally regenerated at 5% level of significance. However, the difference was not significant between the two sites at the same level of probability.

From Table 10 it was discovered that the rate of survival of planted trees on each technique and the global rate of survival on each site is highest in trench with value of 72.80% for Babarangua site. The least value of 31.10% was however recorded in wall of stone at Kougouptache. Statistical analysis showed that site effect in tree survival was not significant at 5% level of significance. This observation is in line with the findings and reports of Allen and Leech 1997, Sharma 2000, Oumarou 2001, and Yang et al 2002. On the other hand, technique effect is significant at the same level of probability. Post mortem analysis carried out to compare the different among the three techniques using LSD showed that trench and demi-lune gave the best result.

| Lubic of Density of h | aturung regenerut | cu mees per me | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|
| Technique | Wall of stone | Trench | Demi-lune | Global mean |
| | (bole per ha) | (bole per ha) | (bole per ha) | (bole per ha) |
| Babarangua | 490 | 994 | 923 | 791 |
| Kougouptache | 394 | 759 | 584 | 572 |

Table 8: Density of naturally regenerated Trees per Treatment

75264.00

99946.16

F

10.11001

13.42550

P. Level

0.86300

0.069322*

| Error | 2 | 14889 |) | 7444.500 | - | - | |
|---------------------|------------|---------------------|------------|-----------|-----------------------|--------------------|---|
| Total | 5 | 29004 | 15.32 | 182654.66 | | | |
| *Significant at p<0 |).05 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Table 10: Rate of | survival o | of planted t | ree | | | | |
| Technique | Wall of st | one (%) | Trench | De | emi-lune (%) | Mean survival rate | e |
| Babarangua | 43.30% | | 72.80% | 63 | .00% | 59.70% | |
| Kougouptache | 30.10% | | 60.09% | 53 | .88% | 47.83% | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Table 11: Anova | on Rate o | f Survival I | Plantation | ı | | | |
| Source of variance | D.F | SS | MS | I | Ţ | P. Level | |
| Site | 1 | 113.7091 | 113. | 7091 | 0.23570 | 0.085372 | |
| Techniques | 2 | 1198.3462 | 2 599. | 1731 5 | 53.93550 [*] | 0.018203^{*} | |
| Error | 2 | 22.21814 | 11.1 | 0907 | | 0 | |
| Total | 5 | | | | | | |

| Table 9: Anova on | Density of | Naturally | Regenerated tree |
|--------------------|------------|-----------|-------------------------|
| Source of variance | Df | SS | MS |

1

2

75264.00

199892.23

*Significant at p<0.05

Site

Techniques

Table 12: LSD for rate of Survival Plantation

| Technique | Wall of stone | Trench | Demi-lune |
|---------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|
| | 36.70000 | 70.90000 | 58.44000 |
| Wall of stone | - | 0.009365^{*} | 0.0022707^{*} |
| Trench | 0.009365 | - | 0.064689 |
| Demi-lune | 0.022707 | 0.06489 | - |

Table 13 shows that the woody species that has the highest survival rate on the two sites is Acacia raddiana with frequency of 58%. This is followed by Combretum micrantum and Acacia senegalensis which have frequencies of 39% and 31% respectively. The species with least survival rate of 4% was recorded in Calotropis prolera. Also low survival rate of 6% and 8% were equally recorded for Acacia albida and Commiphora africana respectively.

The total area treated through erosion control work was 642ha which represent 46.1% of the total versant in the two villages. For the bioengineering methods to have more impact in erosion prevention and control the treatment should be extended to the remaining versant land covering an area of about 755 hectares as shown in Table 14. The know-how acquired in erosion control work through the first phase of the project will be an advantage that can be utilized. The forest service should assist the future local organization to sensitize people for a free participation in protecting an environment from which depend for their basic need. From technical point of view, it is proposed that wall of stone should be combined with trend on the main versant because of the slope steepness.

| Species | Location | Frequency (%) | |
|-------------------------|----------|---------------|--|
| Acacia raddiana | TV + DV | 58% | |
| Combretum micrantum | TV + DV | 39% | |
| Boscia senegalensis | TV | 21% | |
| Leptadenia hastate | TV + DV | 11% | |
| Piliostigma reticulatum | T.V | 15% | |
| Combretum aculeatum | T.V | 24% | |
| Acacia nilotica | D.V | 16% | |
| Acacia senegal | D.V | 31% | |
| Commiphora africana | D.V | 8% | |
| Calotropis prolera | D.V | 4% | |
| Ziziphus manritiana | TV | 16% | |
| Acacia albida | D.V | 6% | |
| Prosopis juliflora | TV + DV | 11% | |
| Guera senegalensis | D.V | 24% | |
| Other species | TV + DW | | |

Table 13: List and Frequency of Woody Species after Treatment

TV = *Top Versant*, *DV* = *Down Versant*

"other species" include combretum glutinon Hyphaene thebaica, Acacia laeta, and Parkinsonsa aculeate.

Table 14: Remaining Versant Land to be treated Through Erosion Control work and Techniques to be used

| Village | Plateau (ha) | Main versant (ha) | Eroded | glacis' | Total (ha) |
|--------------|---------------|------------------------|-----------|---------|------------|
| | | | (ha) | | |
| Babarangua | 0 | 228 | 113 | | 431 |
| Kougouptache | 48.8 | 194 | 80.9 | | 323.7 |
| Total (ha) | 138.8 | 193.9 | 193.9 | | 754.7 |
| % | 18% | 26% | 26% | | - |
| Technique | Wall of stone | Wall of stone + trench | Demi-lune | | |

Conclusion

Within the scope of this study, the three methods of bioengineering methods assessed are relatively effective in the reduction of rate of water erosion in the two sites. But due to the lower rate of survival of trees planted on wall of stone (43% for Babarangua and 30% for Kougouptche) compared to other two methods and considering the high density of naturally regenerated trees observed after treatment, trench and demi-lune basin should be give priority in the choice of bio-engineering methods to be adopted for erosion control for trees. References

- Allen H.H. and Leech J.R. (1997) Bioengineering for streambank erosion control. Report 1 Guidelines. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Washington. 99pp.
- Hudson N. W. (1991): A study of the reason for Success or failure of soil conservation projects. FAO Soil bulletin 64, Rome.
- Lewis L.H. (2000). Soil Bioengineering an alternative to roadside management—a practical guide. In: National Riparian Service Team, USDA Forest Service, pp 1–47.
- Li, M.H. and Eddleman K.E. (2002). Biotechnical engineering as an alternative to traditional engineering methods—a biotechnical streambank stabilization design approach. *Landscape and Urban Planning* **60**:225–242.
- Mannering, J. V. (1981). The use of Soil loss tolerance as a strategy for soil Conservation. In Morgan (eds). Wiley: 337-350.
- Oumarou, A. (2001). Management of Resources Resulting from Water Erosion Control Activities: A Case Study of Tarka Valley Versant. Unpublished M.Sc Project, Dept of Forest Resources Management, 134pp.

- Sharma A. K. (2000). An addition in Tradition: agroforesry in Arid Zones of India. *Leisa* **2(3)**: 19-20.
- Sterk G. 2002: Causes Consequences and Control of wind erosion in Sahelian Africa. *Land Degradation and Development* 14(1): 95-108.
- Stiles R. (1988). Engineering with vegetation. Landscape Design 172:57–61
- Stocking, M. A. (1986). The cost of soil erosion in Zimbabwe in terms of Three Major Nutrients. Consultants working paper no 3 Soil Conservation Programme FAO/AGLS Rome, 52pp.
- Wang, H., Q. Liu, and J Wang (1998). Intergrated Control measure for combating desertification. China Publishing House Beijing 255pp.
- Yang, A., H. Wang, K. Tang and G. Sun (2002). Soil erosion Characteristics and control measures in China. Proceedings of 12th ISCO conference Beijing.