

Nigerian Journal of Ecology (2016) 15(1):47-57.
©Ecological Society of Nigeria – Jan-June 2016.
ISSN: 1116-753X

Assessment of Seed Bank Dynamics in a Regenerating Tropical Rainforest Ecosystem in South-Western Nigeria

^{1*}Oladoye A.O., ¹Aduradola A.M. and ²Oyelowo O.J.

¹*Department of Forestry and Wildlife Management, University of Agriculture, P.M.B. 2240, Abeokuta, Nigeria.*

²*Forestry Research Institute, Ibadan, Nigeria.*

**Corresponding Author: segunllus@yahoo.com*

(Accepted 17 March 2016)

ABSTRACT

*The species composition, density, and distribution of soil seed banks have been the subject of investigations to designate the viable seed reservoir present in a soil. The study assessed the seed bank dynamic in view to regenerating tropical rainforest ecosystem in South-Western Nigeria. The study area is located in the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (I.I.T.A) campus, Ibadan, Nigeria. Soil samples were collected from each of the twenty-four 25 x 25m sampling plots at 0-15 cm depth under closed forest canopy with an auger of 8.5cm diameter. All seeds that germinated from each of the soil samples were identified using Hutchinson (1952-1972), recorded at 3 days interval and observed for six months. Seedlings of species per plot, variation in emergence seasonally and total seed bank was computed. Simpson's species diversity and similarities indices were used to compare species similarity and diversity. The results showed that a total of 7,857 seedlings from 49 species emerged during the period of the study. The highest number of seedling emergence of 580 seedlings was found in plot 6 while the least was found in plot 70 (179 seedlings). Species with the highest seedling emergence is *Chromolaena odorata* (1,278) and the least seedling emergence includes *Mucuna flagellipes* (4). The highest number of the seedlings (338) was recorded at the middle of the raining season (June), 324 seedling at the early raining season collection (April), and the lowest (202) at the late raining season (September collection). The similarity indices showed that plot 14 and plot 26 were the most similar (88.89%) while the least similar plots was plot 49 and 84 (26.67%). In terms of species diversity, plot 68 has the highest species diversity of 0.9384 with 31 species, while the least was found in plot 76 (0.8424) with 18 species. With respect to the interactions between seed bank and the standing vegetation, the result showed a large discrepancy between the seedlings from the seed bank and the standing vegetation.*

Keywords: Seed bank, herbaceous species, seedling emergence

INTRODUCTION

The term soil seed bank has been used to designate the viable seed reservoir present in a soil (Roberts, 1981). According to Baker (1989) this reservoir corresponds to the seeds not germinated but, potentially

capable of replacing the annual mature plants, which had disappeared by natural death or not, and perennial plants that are susceptible to plant diseases, disturbance and animal consumption, including man. All the viable seeds present in the soil or mixed

to soil debris constitute the soil seed bank (Simpson *et al.*, 1989). The soil seed bank is the life cycle origin for the annual species, being fundamentally the cause of its persistence; in perennials, besides the seed bank, there is a bank of vegetative propagules like tubers, rhizomes and stolons (Fernández-Quintanilla *et al.*, 1991). Tropical soil seed banks are considered important regeneration resources during secondary succession or gap dynamics within rain forests (Hopkins and Graham 1984, Young *et al.*, 1987, Garwood 1989, Thompson 1992, Dupuy and Chazdon 1998). Many soil seed banks consist primarily of pioneer species or weed species from adjacent disturbed areas (Young *et al.*, 1987, Thompson 1992, Dalling and Denslow 1998, Metcalfe and Turner 1998, Saulei and Swaine 1998). The persistence and distribution of seeds in the soil are known to be largely determined by seed size and shape (Thompson 1992, Bekker *et al.*, 1998, Guo *et al.*, 2000). The size and species composition of the soil seed bank vary seasonally as changes in transient and seasonal transient seed banks (Garwood 1989), and this change largely coincides with the fruiting phenology of forests (Grombone-Guaratini and Rodrigues 2002). Seeds of primary species rarely occur in the soil seed bank of tropical rain forests due to large seed size, high water content, and rapid germination strategies (Vazquez-Yanes and Orozco-Segovia 1993). As a result, the species composition of soil seed banks differs considerably from the species composition of the forest canopy (Garwood 1989). The species composition, density, and distribution of soil seed banks have been the subject of investigations that also consider forest fragmentation and regional disturbance (Hopkins and Graham 1984, Quintana-Ascencio *et al.*, 1996, Cao *et al.*, 2000). The study assessed the seed bank dynamic in view to regenerating tropical

rainforest ecosystem in South-Western Nigeria.

Materials and Methods

The study area is located on the one thousand hectares land in the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (I.I.T.A) campus at Idi-Ose, North of Ibadan. It is located on longitude 7° 30'N and latitude 3° 55'E and 243m above sea level. The rolling topography is dominated by slopes that are 3-10% (Ano. 1967, Moormann *et al.*, 1975). The area is underlain by metamorphic rocks of pre-cambrian basement complex, consisting largely of banded gneiss alternating with strata of quartzites and quartz schists. The soils are predominately Ferric Luvisols (Moormann *et al.*, 1975).

It is (IITA) within the humid tropical lowland region with two distinct seasons: the longer wet season and shorter dry season. The rainfall pattern has bimodal peak with an annual total ranges between 1,300-1,500mm most of which falls between May and September. The average daily temperature ranges between 21°C-23°C while the maximum is between 28°C and 34°C. Mean relative humidity is in the range of 64-84% (Hall and Okali, 1979, Osunsina, 2004).

In other to assess the soil seed store and its variability in space and time, soil sampling for investigation of seed bank was done between April, June and October, 2011, to increase the probability of finding species with different germination requirements (Dry and Rainy seasons). Soil samples were collected from each of the twenty-four 25 x 25m sampling plots. Three soil samples 5 x10 cm at 0-15 cm depth were collected under closed forest canopy from each of the sampling plot at random with an auger of 8.5cm diameter, During the collection of the soil samples the distance between the collection of one soil sample to the other

was not less than 5 m and were not collected near the edge of the site.

Each soil sample was carefully placed in a wooden box 25 x 25 x10 cm and labeled. Each of the boxes containing soil samples was loosely covered with a black polythene sheet to avoid contamination during transportation to an open place.

Samples were kept moist by sprinkling of water on them daily from the second day of collection to the last day of observation. All seeds that germinated from each of the soil samples were identified using Hutchinson (1952-1972) and recorded at 3 days interval. The total number of each individual species that germinated in each box were summed up and recorded at the end of every seven days. Observation of each soil sample was done for six months. Seeds that germinated in each of the box were classified into climax and pioneers trees, climbers or herbs. The data sets for April, June and October were pooled, whereby seed densities were summed. Number of seedlings of all species per plot were estimated, variation in seedling emergence seasonally and total seed bank among the plots were also computed. Simpson's species diversity and similarities indices were also used to compare the similarity in species composition and species diversity among plots in each sampling season. The percentage contributions of each species to the seed bank were also determined seasonally.

Results

Seedling Emergence

A total of 7,857 seedlings from 49 species (Table 1) emerged during the period of the study. The highest number of seedling emergence of 580 seedlings was found in plot 6, followed by plot 8 (552 seedlings), plot 69 (447), plot 68 (459). The least was found in plot 70 (179 seedlings), followed by plot 37 (202 seedlings). Species with the

highest seedling emergence was *Chromolaena odorata* (1,278), followed by *Dillenia indica* (705), *Chassalia kolly* (417), *Carpolobia lutea* (368). The species with the least number of seedling emergence include *Mucuna flagellipes* (4), *Croton lobatus* (7), *Bidens pilosa* (21).

Herbaceous plants dominated the life form of the seed banks in all the twenty-four plots, Some of the herbaceous species that dominate the site during the two seasons (six months) include; *Ageratum conyzoides*, *Chromolaena odorata*, *Oldelandia corymbosa*, *Peperomia pellucida*, and *Solanum erianthum*. Twenty –six families were from forty nine species were encountered in all the studied plots, however, Poaceae, Moraceae, Leguminosae, Malvaceae are the most common families (Table 2) .Of all the 49 species only seven are tree species this include; *Antiaris toxicaria* (119), *Albizia zygia* (154), *Leucaena leucocephala* (101), *Morus mesozygia* (240), *Ficus capensis* (11°), *Lecaniodiscus cupanioides* (156), *Anogeisus leiocarpus* (103), *Alchornea cordfolia* (97) and all these account for 10.99% of the total seedlings that emerged.

Table (2) revealed that there was a fluctuating trend in the density of the woody species that emerged from the soil seed bank of the 24 studied plots as the season progresses. The highest number of the seedlings (338) was recorded at the middle of the raining season (June), 324 seedling at the early raining season collection (April), and the lowest (202) at the late raining season (September collection). All woody species germinated during the two seasons (six months)

The similarity indices of the seed banks species composition in the 24 study plots was calculated using Simpson's similarity. Table 4 showed that plot 14 and plot 26 were the most similar (88.89%) followed by plot 8 and plot 95 (87.5%), plot 6 and plot

95 (81.25%). The least similar plots include plot 49, and plot 84 (26.67%), plot 67 and plot 70 (28.57%), plot 70 and plot 84 (35.71%), plot 28 and plot 97 (28.41%).

In term of species diversity plot 68 has the highest species diversity of 0.9384 with 31 species, followed by plot 86(0.929), 23 species. The least was found in plot 76 (0.8424) with 18 species, plot 28 (0.824) with 17 species (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

The higher seedling densities recorded in all the study plots can be ascribed to the preponderance of herbaceous species in the seed bank of the study plot and; longer time allow for the seedlings emergence, which probably gave room for more seeds to overcome their dormancy and germinate. Herbaceous species dominated the seeds banks. This observation could be due to little openings in the forest canopy at the study plot which allows the dispersals of seeds of this herbaceous species to the study site. Isichei (1995) stated that the opening of forest canopy allows germination of herbaceous species. The dominance of herbaceous species in the seed bank of forest soil as recorded in this study has been reported by various workers (Miller, 1999, Cao *et al.*, 1997, Oke *et al.*, 2006). The presence of few woody species in the seed banks may be due to the low seed production or lack of definite (or no) dormancy mechanism in most woody species. However there were more seedlings of woody species in the seed bank during the mid- raining season (June collection) than any other time. This agrees with the findings of Chandrashekera and Ranakrishnan (1993) and Oke *et al.*, (2006) which reported a high density of viable seed/ seedlings during the season. Index of similarity (28-88%) for the seedlings that emerged from the seed banks in this study indicate a high level of

similarity in species composition of the seed banks.

The interactions between seed bank and the standing vegetation, showed a large discrepancy between the seedlings from the seed bank and the standing vegetation. This is similar to earlier reports by Thompson and Grime (1979), Staaf *et al.*, (1987) and Warr *et al.*, (1994). A few of the total species from the seed bank are common to those found in the standing vegetation. This includes *Chromolaena odorata*, *Chassalia kolly*, *Carpolobia lotea*, *Antiaris toxicaria*, *Lecaniodiscus cupanioides*, *Albizia zygia*, *Alchornea cordifolia*. This can be explained by the fact that only a few forest species produce long-living seeds of proclimax species (Kjellison, 1992; Warr *et al.*, 1994; Brunet and Vandheimn, 1998). The absence of the most abundant forest species from the seed bank could suggest that these species mainly reproduce through vegetative propagation. Their absence in the seed bank can be explained by a potential clumped occurrence of seed, just beneath the litter cover but incorporated in the soil, so that the small samples may fail to detect seeds.

In addition, the absence of seedling with large seeds in the seed bank study could be due to the fact that large seeds are transient in the seed bank because they do not have long dormancy periods (Swaine, 2001, Martins and Engel 2007) and, as a result, their number in the soil seed community is reduced (Dalling and Hubbell 2002). Another potential factor affecting the low representation of large seed seedling species is the higher predation risk that these face in a maturing forest (Schupp *et al.*, 1989).

Table 1: Density of Seedling that Emerged in the Twenty Four Study Plots

Plant spp	Plots											
	6	8	14	17	26	28	30	37	41	48	49	57
<i>Abutilon muritianum</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	13	6	0
<i>Achyranthus aspera</i>	29	0	4	16	5	0	0	0	0	1	51	20
<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i>	0	23	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	5	0	4
<i>Albizia zygia</i>	6	8	4	24	20	12	8	0	0	4	3	0
<i>Alchornea cordifolia</i>	0	12	6	0	18	0	12	13	0	11	5	0
<i>Andropogon tectorum</i>	75	0	7	8	12	0	0	0	2	0	57	8
<i>Anogeissus leiocarpus</i>	8	13	6	0	0	0	0	19	9	7	0	6
<i>Antiaris toxicaria</i>	9	6	9	8	5	8	0	0	3	0	4	8
<i>Aspilia africana</i>	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	14	0
<i>Bidens pilosa</i>	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
<i>Capsicum frutescens</i>	0	0	15	4	58	0	18	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Carpolobia lutea</i>	0	34	13	0	12	0	21	35	6	0	29	43
<i>Chassalia kolly</i>	12	52	6	35	27	49	0	3	18	0	0	0
<i>Chromolaena odorata</i>	119	90	67	12	40	95	8	0	45	72	0	61
<i>Cleome rutidospermum</i>	0	0	2	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Combretum hispidum</i>	0	6	11	0	0	0	0	0	6	42	0	0
<i>Commelina diffusa</i>	0	0	18	4	16	27	0	0	0	0	18	0
<i>Croton lobatus</i>	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Desmodium scorpiurus</i>	0	0	0	5	0	0	21	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Desmodium hirsutum</i>	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Digiteria horizontalis</i>	55	0	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Dillenia indica</i>	36	74	46	0	41	43	38	13	43	20	34	49
<i>Diodia sarmentosa</i>	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0
<i>Eugenia spp</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	2	5	0
<i>Ficus capensis</i>	0	8	0	0	0	0	17	15	3	5	7	0
<i>Indigofera hirsutum</i>	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Ipomoea triloba</i>	0	0	4	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Laportea aestuans</i>	0	5	0	0	0	0	2	11	6	0	6	17
<i>Lecaniodiscus cupanioides</i>	12	7	21	15	19	0	0	0	46	27	0	31
<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i>	8	7	6	0	0	0	0	11	8	7	0	11
<i>Mariscus flabelliformis</i>	0	0	13	5	4	0	13	18	35	0	3	10
<i>Morus mesozygia</i>	0	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<i>Mucuna flagellipes</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Mucuna pruriens</i>	23	24	42	35	0	0	24	16	0	7	0	0
<i>Oldenlandia corymbosa</i>	0	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0
<i>Oxalis corniculata</i>	5	6	12	12	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Panicum maximum</i>	0	12	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0
<i>Parquetina nigrescens</i>	0	16	16	6	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Perperomia pellucida</i>	0	0	4	0	0	8	16	3	0	0	0	0
<i>Physalis micrantha</i>	0	0	4	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	5
<i>Pupalia lappacea</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	17	0	0	9
<i>Setaria barbata</i>	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Sida acuta</i>	0	6	1	0	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Sida corymbosa</i>	77	7	26	7	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Solanum erianthum</i>	10	6	0	0	20	0	0	24	3	33	10	20
<i>Spigelia arthelmia</i>	43	41	37	9	3	0	0	0	0	11	0	5
<i>Talinum triangulare</i>	21	54	16	6	0	33	16	19	0	7	0	0
<i>Tridax procumbens</i>	24	25	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	8	0	1
<i>Triumfetta cordifolia</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0
	580	552	424	253	348	296	240	202	279	282	259	309

plant spp	60	64	69	70	76	84	89	90	95	97	68	86
<i>Abutilon muritianum</i>	1	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	13	6	0
<i>Achyranthus aspera</i>	0	1	0	0	2	0	8	4	37	1	51	20
<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i>	0	0	0	11	52	0	0	0	0	5	0	4
<i>Albizia zygia</i>	8	11	0	9	2	7	4	9	5	4	6	0
<i>Alchornea cordifolia</i>	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	5	0
<i>Andropogon tectorum</i>	0	46	0	3	0	0	0	5	0	0	50	8
<i>Anogeissus leiocarpus</i>	9	6	0	0	0	2	5	0	0	7	6	0
<i>Antiaris toxicaria</i>	5	0	11	9	3	4	3	4	5	4	7	4
<i>Aspilia Africana</i>	0	0	46	0	0	0	0	33	0	4	6	5
<i>Bidens pilosa</i>	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3	0	4	4	3
<i>Capsicum frutescens</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	13	0
<i>Carpolobia lutea</i>	0	23	54	0	0	12	18	31	8	6	14	9
<i>Chassalia kolly</i>	23	21	0	0	0	32	21	25	6	32	36	19
<i>Chromolaena odorata</i>	29	74	60	40	129	54	36	48	80	45	48	26
<i>Cleome rutidospermum</i>	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	20	0	7	0	0
<i>Combretum hispidum</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
<i>Commelina diffusa</i>	0	3	0	0	0	0	5	3	0	0	0	0
<i>Croton lobatus</i>	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Desmodium scorpiurus</i>	0	4	0	0	2	2	9	19	1	0	6	0
<i>Desmodium hirsutum</i>	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	0
<i>Digitaria horizontalis</i>	0	10	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	4
<i>Dillenia indica</i>	30	45	0	25	24	0	37	34	21	0	31	21
<i>Diodia sarmentosa</i>	0	0	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0
<i>Eugenia uniflora</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	23	4
<i>Ficus capensis</i>	8	5	13	0	5	0	4	3	6	5	6	0
<i>Indigofera hirsutum</i>	0	0	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	7
<i>Ipomoea triloba</i>	0	42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
<i>Laportea aestuans</i>	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
<i>Lecaniodiscus cupanioides</i>	67	9	85	6	0	3	5	7	1	0	0	0
<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i>	6	9	0	0	0	6	5	0	0	11	6	0
<i>Mariscus flabelliformis</i>	2	40	0	2	39	23	26	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Morus mesozygia</i>	0	0	3	0	4	0	0	0	0	3	3	0
<i>Mucuna flagellipes</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
<i>Mucuna pruriens</i>	0	2	0	0	2	17	2	31	21	0	5	0
<i>Oldenlandia corymbosa</i>	0	0	0	21	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	0
<i>Oxalis corniculata</i>	6	0	0	0	0	0	3	12	8	0	7	0
<i>Panicum maximum</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	4
<i>Parquetina nigrescens</i>	0	0	0	3	22	0	0	0	0	0	14	23
<i>Perperomia pellucida</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
<i>Physalis micranta</i>	12	7	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	6
<i>Pupalia lappacea</i>	24	64	43	19	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	17
<i>Setaria barbata</i>	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Sida acuta</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Sida corymbosa</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	1	29	0	0	0
<i>Solanum erianthum</i>	25	4	0	0	0	0	0	12	51	36	0	0
<i>Spigelia arthelmia</i>	0	0	17	0	34	1	12	0	0	6	0	0
<i>Talinum triangulare</i>	0	7	56	0	41	7	42	0	4	0	5	0
<i>Tridax procumbens</i>	0	6	0	0	37	48	7	12	7	3	23	28
<i>Triumfetta cordifolia</i>	0	0	0	0	2	0	10	42	0	2	0	3
	255	451	447	179	408	219	280	363	290	228	459	258

Table 2: Plant, Family and Density of Seedling that emerged in the Twenty-four study plots

Plant Spp	Family	Form	Density
<i>Abutilon mauritianum</i>	Malvaceae	Shrub	50±3.96
<i>Achyranthes aspera</i>	Amaranthaceae	Herb	250±16.14
<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i>	Asteraceae	Herb	106±11.37
<i>Albizia zygia</i>	Fabaceae	Tree	154±6.01
<i>Alchornea cordifolia</i>	Euphorbiaceae	Shrub	97±5.78
<i>Andropogon tectorum</i>	Poaceae	Grass	281±21.49
<i>Anogeissus leiocarpus</i>	Combretaceae	Tree	103±5.04
<i>Antiaris toxicaria</i>	Moraceae	Tree	119±3.18
<i>Aspilia Africana</i>	Asteraceae	Herb	125±11.64
<i>Bidens pilosa</i>	Asteraceae	Herb	21±1.45
<i>Capsicum frutescens</i>	Solanaceae	Herb	125±12.74
<i>Carpolobia lutea</i>	Polygalaceae	Shrub	368±15.42
<i>Chassalia kolly</i>	Rubiaceae	Shrub	417±16.29
<i>Chromolaena odorata</i>	Asteraceae	Herb	1278±33.97
<i>Cleome rutidospermum</i>	Capparaceae	Herb	40±4.32
<i>Combretum hispidum</i>	Combretaceae	Shrub	93±10.17
<i>Commelina diffusa</i>	Commelinaceae	Herb	94±7.59
<i>Croton lobatus</i>	Euphorbiaceae	Herb	7±0.10
<i>Desmodium scorpiurus</i>	Fabaceae	Herb	69±5.79
<i>Desmodium hirsutum</i>	Fabaceae	Herb	30±4.36
<i>Digitaria horizontalis</i>	Poaceae	Grass	123±12.57
<i>Dillenia indica</i>	Dilleniaceae	Tree	705±18.20
<i>Diodia sarmentosa</i>	Rubiaceae	Herb	40±6.01
<i>Eugenia uniflora</i>	Myrtaceae	Tree	47±5.02
<i>Ficus capensis</i>	Moraceae	Tree	110±4.94
<i>Indigofera hirsutum</i>	Fabaceae	Herb	17±1.85
<i>Ipomoea triloba</i>	Convolvulaceae	Herb	56±8.64
<i>Laportea aestuans</i>	Urticaceae	Herb	56±4.28
<i>Lecaniodiscus cupanioides</i>	Sapindaceae	Shrub	361±22.37
<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i>	Fabaceae	Tree	101±4.24
<i>Mariscus flabelliformis</i>	Cypreaceae	Grass/Sedge	233±13.37
<i>Morus mesozygia</i>	Moraceae	Tree	24±1.77
<i>Mucuna flagellipes</i>	Fabaceae	Climbers	4±0.64
<i>Mucuna pruriens</i>	Fabaceae	Herb	251±13.32
<i>Oldenlandia corymbosa</i>	Rubiaceae	Herb	44±4.85
<i>Oxalis curriculata</i>	Oxalidaceae	Herb	75±4.33
<i>Panicum maximum</i>	Poaceae	Grass	45±4.11
<i>Parquetina nigrescens</i>	Asclepiadaceae	Shrub/Tree	102±7.62
<i>Perperomia pellucida</i>	Piperaceae	Herb	34±3.65
<i>Physalis micranta</i>	Solanaceae	Herb	85±7.16
<i>Pupalia lappacea</i>	Amaranthaceae	Shrub	200±16.02
<i>Setaria barbata</i>	Poaceae	Grass	12±1.87
<i>Sida acuta</i>	Malvaceae	Shrub	35±5.79
<i>Sida corymbosa</i>	Malvaceae	Shrub	159±16.92
<i>Solanum erianthum</i>	Solanaceae	Shrub	254±14.30
<i>Spigelia arthemia</i>	Loganiaceae	Herb	219±14.39
<i>Talinum triangulare</i>	Portulacaceae	Herb	334±18.03
<i>Tridax procumbens</i>	Asteraceae	Herb	237±13.61
<i>Triumfetta cordifolia</i>	Tiliaceae	Shrub	71±8.88

Table 2: Number of Individuals and Seasonal Composition of Woody Species that Emerged in the Seed Bank of the Twenty Four Study Plots

Tree species	April	June	Sept	Total
<i>Albizia zygia</i>	73	44	37	154
<i>Alchornea cordifolia</i>	37	41	19	97
<i>Anogeissus leiocarpa</i>	46	38	19	103
<i>Antiaris toxicaria</i>	53	37	29	119
<i>Ficus capensis</i>	41	52	17	110
<i>Lecaniodiscus cupanioides</i>	40	64	52	156
<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i>	27	48	26	101
<i>Morus mesogygia</i>	7	14	3	24
Total	324	338	202	864

Table 3: Simpson's Diversity Indices of the Seed bank Species composition of the Twenty-four Study Plots

Plots	No of Species	Individuals	Simpson Diversity
plot6	20	580	0.8961
plot8	26	552	0.9179
plot14	30	424	0.9282
plot17	21	253	0.9233
plot26	18	348	0.9128
plot28	17	296	0.824
plot30	17	240	0.9183
plot37	14	202	0.9037
plot41	19	279	0.8924
plot48	18	282	0.8754
plot49	17	259	0.8703
plot57	18	309	0.8895
plot60	15	255	0.8706
plot64	25	451	0.9078
plot69	14	447	0.8857
plot70	14	179	0.8734
plot76	18	408	0.8424
plot84	15	219	0.8462
plot89	24	280	0.9161
plot90	22	363	0.9219
plot95	16	290	0.8524
plot97	21	228	0.8961
plot68	31	459	0.9384
plot86	23	258	0.9293

Table 4: Simpson's Similarity Indices of the Seed bank Species composition of the Twenty-four Study Plots

	plot6	plot8	plot14	plot17	plot26	plot28	plot30	plot37	plot41	plot48	plot49	plot57	plot60	plot64	plot69	plot70	plot76	plot84	plot89	plot90
plot6	1																			
plot8	0.75	1																		
plot14	0.75	0.73	1																	
plot17	0.65	0.61	0.8	1																
plot26	0.56	0.61	0.88	0.66	1															
plot28	0.47	0.58	0.82	0.52	0.35	1														
plot30	0.35	0.58	0.58	0.41	0.41	0.35	1													
plot37	0.5	0.78	0.71	0.28	0.42	0.28	0.64	1												
plot41	0.47	0.68	0.58	0.36	0.5	0.29	0.35	0.71	1											
plot48	0.66	0.83	0.66	0.38	0.44	0.29	0.47	0.57	0.55	1										
plot49	0.35	0.52	0.58	0.41	0.64	0.23	0.41	0.5	0.58	0.47	1									
plot57	0.61	0.72	0.72	0.38	0.55	0.29	0.35	0.57	0.66	0.55	0.47	1								
plot60	0.66	0.73	0.73	0.46	0.53	0.46	0.33	0.57	0.8	0.6	0.46	0.66	1							
plot64	0.7	0.56	0.72	0.57	0.66	0.52	0.64	0.85	0.68	0.77	0.64	0.72	0.86	1						
plot69	0.43	0.64	0.5	0.42	0.42	0.35	0.42	0.35	0.57	0.35	0.36	0.57	0.35	0.5	1					
plot70	0.43	0.57	0.78	0.64	0.57	0.5	0.28	0.21	0.57	0.36	0.42	0.64	0.57	0.57	0.28	1				
plot76	0.61	0.66	0.61	0.55	0.38	0.41	0.58	0.35	0.28	0.55	0.35	0.5	0.4	0.55	0.42	0.5	1			
plot84	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.66	0.53	0.33	0.53	0.5	0.53	0.6	0.26	0.6	0.53	0.8	0.42	0.36	0.66	1		
plot89	0.75	0.7	0.7	0.71	0.61	0.52	0.64	0.64	0.68	0.77	0.58	0.61	0.8	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.72	0.93	1	
plot90	0.65	0.59	0.68	0.61	0.72	0.47	0.52	0.5	0.52	0.5	0.65	0.55	0.66	0.68	0.5	0.64	0.55	0.6	0.72	1
plot95	0.81	0.87	0.75	0.68	0.56	0.5	0.56	0.5	0.5	0.62	0.44	0.5	0.6	0.81	0.42	0.35	0.63	0.66	0.93	0.93
plot97	0.5	0.66	0.66	0.38	0.66	0.29	0.47	0.5	0.47	0.66	0.59	0.61	0.6	0.57	0.5	0.42	0.55	0.6	0.61	0.61
plot98	0.7	0.69	0.66	0.71	0.61	0.7	0.76	0.71	0.68	0.72	0.76	0.61	0.73	0.72	0.71	0.64	0.66	0.73	0.75	0.68
plot96	0.45	0.47	0.52	0.38	0.44	0.47	0.41	0.35	0.52	0.38	0.59	0.61	0.4	0.47	0.5	0.64	0.5	0.4	0.39	0.54

This may result since they are easier to find, more nutritious than small seeds, and may be prone to predation in an impoverished seed environment (Stevenson 2007a).

It is predicted that in sites where forest productivity is higher and animal dispersers are abundant, regeneration rates will be faster since the seed bank and seed rain will be enriched, and shade tolerant seeds will be less limited by dispersal. Nevertheless, it is necessary to undertake other studies in different sites to evaluate and validate these predictions.

REFERENCES

Baker, H.G. 1989. Some Aspects of the Natural History of Seed Banks. In: Leck, M.A., Parker, V.T., Simpson, R.L. (Ed) Ecology of soil seed banks. London: *Academic Press*, pp.5-19.

Bekker, R. M., J. P. Bakker, U. Grandin, R. Kalamees, P. Milberg, P. Poschlo, K. Thompson, And J. H. Willems. 1998. Seed size, shape and vertical distribution in the soil: Indicators of seed longevity. *Functional Ecology*. **12**: 834–842.

Burnet, J. and G.Von Oheimb, 1998. Migration of vascular plants to secondary woodlands in southern sweden. *Journal of ecology*., **86**:429-438.

Cao, M., Y. Tang, C. Y. Sheng, and J. H. Zhang. 2000 Viable seeds buried in the tropical forest soils of Xishuangbanna, SW China. *Seed Science Resources* **10**: 255–264.

Cao, M.T., T. Tang, K.Jianhoo and S.Canjo, 1997. Storage and dominants in soil seed bank under the tropical forest of Xishuangbanna. *Acta Botanica Yunnanica*, **19**:177-183

Chandrashekera, U.M and P.S. Ramakrishnan, 1993. Germinable soil seed bank dynamics during gap phase of a humid tropical forest in Western Ghat of Keralla, India. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, **9**:455-467.

Dalling, J. W., and J. S. Denslow. 1998. Soil seed bank composition along a forest chronosequence in seasonally moist tropical forest, Panama. *Journal of Vegetation Science*. **9**: 669–678.

Dalling, J. W., and S. P. Hubbell. 2002. Seed size, growth rate and gap microsite conditions as determinants of recruitment success for pioneer species. *Journal of Ecology*. **90**: 557–568.

Dupuy, J. M., and R. L. Chazdon. 1998. Long-term effects of forest regrowth and selective logging on the seed bank of tropical forests in NE Costa Rica. *Biotropica* **30**: 223 237.

- Fernandez-Quintanilla, C.; Saavedra, M.S; Garcia Torre, L. 1991. Ecologia de las malas hierbas. In: Garcia Torre, L.; Fernandez-Quintanilla, C. Fundamentos sobre malas hierbas y herbicidas. Mundi-Prensa, Madrid,. P.49-69.
- Garwood, N.C. 1989. Tropical soil seed banks: a review. In: Leck, M.A.; Parker, V.T; Simpson, R.L. (Ed). Ecology of soil seed banks. London: Academic Press,. P.149-209.
- Grombone-Guaratini, M. T., and Rodrigues, R. R. 2002. Seed bank and seed rain in a seasonal semi-deciduous forest in south-eastern Brazil. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* **18**: 759–774
- Guo, Q., J. H. Brown, T. J. Valone, and S. D. Kachman. 2000. Constraints of seed size on plant distribution and abundance. *Ecology* **81**: 2149–2155.
- Hall J. B and Okali D. U. U. 1979. A structural and Floristic Analysis of Woody Fallow Vegetation near Ibadan, Nigeria. *Journal Ecology*, **67**: 321-346
- Hall, J.B. and M.D. Swaine, 1980. Seed stock in Ghanaian forest soils. *Biotropica*, **12**:256-263
- Hopkins, M. S., and A.W. Graham. 1984. Viable soil seed banks in disturbed lowland tropical rain forest sites in North Queensland. *Australian Journal of Ecology* **9**: 71–79.
- Isichei, A. O, J. I Moughalu, F. A. Akerdolu and O.A. Afolabi, 1995. Fuel characteristics and Emmission from Biomass Burning and landuse change in Nigeria. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* **38**:279-289.
- Kjellsson, G 1992. Seed banks in Danish deciduous forest: species composition, seed influx and distribution pattern in soil. *Ecogeography*, **15**:86-100
- Martins, A. M., and V. L. Engel. 2007. Soil seed banks in tropical forest fragments with different disturbance histories in southeastern Brazil. *ecological engineering* **31**: 165–174.
- Metcalfe, D. J., and I. M. Turner. 1998. Soil seed bank from lowland rain forest in Singapore: Canopy-gap and litter-gap demanders. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* **14**: 103–108.
- Miller, P.M, 1999. Effects of deforestation on seed banks in tropical deciduous forest in Western Mexico. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*. **15**:179-188.
- Moorman, F.R., Juo, A.S.R., And Lal, R. (1975). "The Soils of IITA." Tech. Bull. No. 3. International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Ibadan, Nigeria.
- Oke, S. O, Oladipo, O. T. and Ishichei, A. O 2006. Seed bank dynamics and regeneration in a secondary lowland rainforest in Nigeria. *International Journal of Botany* **2** (4): 363-371.
- Osunsina I.O.O. 2004. Ecological Distribution, Diversity and abundance of Wildlife species in the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture Ibadan. (M.Sc Dissertation, 2004) Unpublished.
- Quintana-Ascencio, P. F., M. Gonzalez-Espinosa, N. Ramirez-Marcial, G. Dominguez-Vazquez, and M. Martinez-ICO. 1996. Soil seed banks and regeneration of tropical rain forest from Milpa fields at the Selva Lacandona, Chiapas, Mexico. *Biotropica* **28**: 192–209.
- Roberts, H.A. 1981. Seed banks in the soil. *Advances in Applied Biology*, Cambridge, Academic Press,. v.6, 55p.
- Saulei, S. M. and Swain, M. D. (1988) Rain forest seed dynamics during succession at Gogol, Papua New Guinea. *Journal of Ecology* **76**: 1133 - 1152
- Schupp, E. W., H. F. Howe, C. K. Augspurger, and D. J. Levey. 1989.

- Arrival and survival in tropical treefall gaps. *Ecology* **70**: 562–564.
- Simpson, R.L.; Leck, M.A.; Parker, V.T. 1989. :Seed banks: General concepts and methodological issues. In: Leck, M.A.; Parker, V.T; Simpson, R.L. (Ed). *Ecology of soil seed banks*. London: Academic Press, p.3-8.
- Staaf, H.M. Jpnsson na L.G. Olsen, 1987. Burried germinative seed in mature beach forests with different herbaceous vegetation and soil types. *Holarctic Ecol.*, **10**: 268-277.
- Stevenson, P. R. 2007a. A test of the escape and colonization hypotheses for zoochorous tree species in a Western Amazonian forest. *Plant Ecology* **190**:245–258.
- Swaine, M. 2001. Protocol for assay of soil seed banks. In: Proceedings of the Euroworkshop on Functional Groups in Tropical Forest Trees. Available a http://www.nbu.ac.uk/tropical/SSBprotocol_Swaine.doc (accessed April 10, 2008).
- Thompson, K. 1992. The functional ecology of seed banks. In M. Fenner (Ed.). *Seeds: The ecology of regeneration in plant communities*, pp. 231–258. CAB International, Wallingford.
- Thompson, k. and J.P. grime, 1979. Seasonal variation in the seed banks of herbaceous species in ten contrasting habitats. *Journal of Ecology* **67**:893-921.
- Vazquez-Yanes, C., and A.Orozco-Segovia. 1993. Patterns of seed longevity and germination in the tropical rain forest. *Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* **24**: 69– 87.
- Warr, J.S., M. kent and K.Thompson, 1994. Seed bank composition and variability in five woodlands in South-West England. *Journal of Biogeography* **21**:151-168.
- Young, K. R., Ewel, J. J. and Brown, B. J., 1987, Seed dynamics during forest succession in Costa Rica. *Vegetatio*,**71**: 157-173.